

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/755,880	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AHRENS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nitin C. Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2116	

**All Participants:**

(1) Nitin C. Patel.

(2) Mr. Duke W. Yee [reg. # 34,285].

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*101 issue*

Claims discussed:  
*15-21*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner discussed the claim 15 regarding "A computer program product" a 101 issue, and attorney is agreed and authorized to make changes as per examiner's amendment and expediate the prosecution.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Nitin C. Patel 6/15/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)